

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/559,793	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DIMPLE N. BODAWALA	1791	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dimple N. Bodawala (Examiner).

(2) Philip Tucker (SPE).

(3) Kamran Emdadi (Applicant's representative). KE

(4) Ryo Kuninaka (Applicant's representative). RK

Date of Interview: 08 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Takizawa (US 6,120,711).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (See attached sheet).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Art Unit: 1791

During the interview, Examiner suggested limitation of "control unit comprising charge pressure setting processor" instead of "charge pressure setting processing portion" in claims 1 and 5 to overcome the rejection under 112, second paragraph. Also discussed the pressure difference values come up with respect to the claim and applied reference (Takizawa); and that the specification indicates that pressure difference values previously set reference pressure value (α).